rot are inorment pisture of Camorina

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAUL ESCARCEGA,

No. C 06-3693 SI (pr)

Petitioner,

ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL BRIFFING

v.

A. P. KANE, warden,

Respondent.

En banc review is now pending in <u>Hayward v Marshall</u>, 512 F3d 536 (9th Cir), reh'g en banc granted, 527 F3d 797 (9th Cir 2008), in which important issues related to habeas petitions challenging the denial of parole in California may be decided. The <u>en banc</u> oral argument has occurred and the second round of supplemental briefing is in progress in <u>Hayward</u>. In light of the possibility that the <u>Hayward en banc</u> decision may affect the analysis of this parole denial case, the court requests supplemental briefing on it.

Accordingly, within **ten days** of the date the <u>en banc</u> decision in <u>Hayward</u> is issued, respondent must send a copy of that decision to petitioner. Within **twenty days** of the date the decision is issued, respondent must file and serve a supplemental brief (if any) on the impact of <u>Hayward</u> on this action. Within **forty-five days** of the date the decision is issued petitioner must file and serve his supplemental brief (if any) on the impact of <u>Hayward</u> on this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 30, 2008

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge